SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JULY 27, 2005 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S110887

G028823 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Three

REEVES (JAMES GREEBE) ON H.C.

Rehearing denied

Requests for modification denied. Petition for rehearing is denied.

Chin, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S120677

A099674 First Appellate District,

Division Two

PEOPLE v. SORDEN

Rehearing denied

Petition for rehearing and request for modification is DENIED.

Werdegar, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S112386

D039656 Fourth Appellate District,

Division One

WASATCH PROPERTY MGMT. v. DEGRATE Opinion modified - no change in judgment

S133794

B174826 Second Appellate District,

Division Four

PIONEER ELECTRONICS v. S.C. (OLMSTEAD)

Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S134072

C045494 Third Appellate District

CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS & TRAINING v. S.C.

(LOS ANGELES TIMES)
Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 27, 2005 1469

S134243

B170355 Second Appellate District,

Division Six

PEOPLE v. THOMA

Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter,

Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S134253

A108488 First Appellate District,

Division Three

INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL ENGINEERS v. S.C. (CONTRA COSTA NEWSPAPERS)

Petition for review granted

Request for judicial notice denied. Petitions for review granted.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S134543

A099250 First Appellate District,

A099366 Division Two

A099499

A109260

PEOPLE v. NOEL

Petition for review granted; issues limited

Appellant Marjorie Knoller's petition for review GRANTED.

The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following: (1) Whether the mental state required for implied malice includes only conscious disregard for human life or is satisfied by an awareness that the act is likely to result in great bodily injury? (2) Whether the trial judge abused his discretion in granting the motion for new trial under Penal Code section 1181 (6).

Appellant Robert Noel's petition for review denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

B178101 Second Appellate District, Division Eight CATHOLIC MUTUAL RELIEF SOCIETY v. S.C. (ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF S.D.) Petition for review granted

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S134901

F045226 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. S.C. (VIDAL) Petition for review granted

> Petition for review GRANTED. Pending further order of this court, trial in People v. Jorge Junior Vidal, Tulare County Superior Court Number 69782-C, is hereby stayed.

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate. Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S135819

C050115 Third Appellate District

INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSN. v. McPHERSON (FINKELSTEIN)
Petition for review granted

The stay issued by the Court of Appeal as part of its July 22, 2005, decision, restraining the Secretary of State from taking any steps, pending the finality of the Court of Appeal's decision, to place Proposition 80 in the ballot pamphlet or on the ballot of the special election to be held on November 8, 2005, is vacated. As the Court of Appeal recognized, California authorities establish that "it is usually more appropriate to review constitutional and other challenges to ballot propositions or initiative measures after an election rather than to disrupt the electoral process by preventing the exercise of the people's franchise, in the absence of some clear showing of invalidity." (Brosnahan v. Eu (1982) 31 Cal.3d 1, 4.) Because, unlike the Court of Appeal, at this point we cannot say that it is clear that article XII, section 5, of the California Constitution precludes the enactment of Proposition 80 as an initiative measure, we conclude that the validity of Proposition 80 need not and should not be determined prior to the

November 8, 2005 election. Accordingly, the Secretary of State and other public officials are directed to proceed with all the required steps to place Proposition 80 in the ballot pamphlet and on the ballot of the special election to be held on November 8, 2005. After that election, we shall determine whether to retain jurisdiction in this matter and resolve the issues raised in the petition.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S045982

PEOPLE v. AVILA (JOHNNY, JR.) Supplemental briefing ordered

The parties are requested to brief the effect, if any, of *Johnson v. California* (2005) ____ U.S. ___ [125 S.Ct. 2410] and *Miller-El v. Dretke* (2005) ___ U.S. ___ [125 S.Ct. 2317] on the issues of this case.

Appellant is directed to file a supplemental opening brief, limited to this question, on or before August 16, 2005. Respondent is directed to file a supplement respondent's brief, also limited to this question, within 20 days of the filing of the supplemental opening brief. Appellant may file a supplemental reply brief, limited to this question, within 10 days of the supplemental respondent's brief.

S134173 C034960 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. VO Review granted/briefing deferred (rule 29.1)

Petitions for review granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Shabazz*, S131048 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28.2(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.1, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

H023394 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. PENA

Review granted/briefing deferred (rule 29.1)

Respondent's petition for review GRANTED. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Modiri*, S120238 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28.2(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.1, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Appellant Carrasco's petition for review denied.

The appeals of appellants Castaneda, Patlan, and Pena are ordered severed, review of their appeals is dismissed, and the Court of Appeal is directed to issue remittiturs in their appeals. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.3(b).)

Respondent's depublication request is denied as moot.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S134398

A104624 First Appellate District, Division Five

PEOPLE v. HERRING

Review granted/briefing deferred

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Cage*, S127344 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28.2(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.1, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S134279

D040473 Fourth Appellate District, Division One

GOBER v. RALPHS GROCERY

Petition for review granted; transferred to CA 4/1

Defendant's petition for review granted/ The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth District, Division One, for

reconsideration in light of *Simon v. San Paolo U.S. Holding Co., Inc.* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1159 [29 Cal.Rptr.3d 379, 399-400].

Plaintiff's petition for review denied.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S110450

F037202 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GREGORY

Dismissed per rule 29.3(b), and remanded to CA 5

Review in this case is dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.3(b).) Petitioner's "Motion for Limited Remand to Permit Implementation of Trial Court Order," filed June 28, 2005, is denied as moot.

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate. Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, and Moreno, JJ.

S134739

A106345 First Appellate District, Division Four AMPEX v. CARGLE

Petition ordered withdrawn

pursuant to written request of respondents, filed July 11, 2005

S135218

MORRIS (TONY D.) ON H.C. Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner

S096831

BARNETT (LEE MAX) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed on April 5, 2001, is denied.

Except for claim 113, each claim is denied on the merits for failure to state a prima facie case for relief.

Claim 113 is dismissed on the ground it is premature. (See *People v. Kelly* (1992) 1 Cal.4th 495, 545, fn. 11.)

Except for claims 57, 58, 60 (subparts A

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 27, 2005 1474

and B), 61, 62 (insofar as it alleges Jerry Kenkel's conflicts of interest involving Delinda Olsen), 65 (insofar as it alleges trial counsel's false statements regarding Cindy Burger), 70 (insofar as it alleges prosecutorial misconduct and ineffective assistance of counsel regarding impeachment of Margaret Haynes, Lloyd Hampton, Dave McGee, Delinda Olsen, Gwen Hall, Helen T., and Robin Stingley with evidence that had not been disclosed to the defense), 71, 73, 75 (insofar as it alleges trial counsel's failure to secure Tom Burgess's permission to review Burgess's attorney's file), 76, 81 (insofar as it alleges ineffective assistance of trial counsel concerning Sunshine Clumpus, Jerry Vore, and Delinda Olsen), 83 (insofar as it alleges ineffective assistance of trial counsel), 84, 94, 95 (insofar as it alleges ineffective assistance of counsel), 96, 101 (subparts A, B, C, D, E, F, H, and I), 103, 104, 105, 106, 111 (insofar as it concerns Sunshine Clumpus), 112, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, and 121, each claim is procedurally barred as both untimely (In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780-781; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 763-799) and impermissibly successive (In re Clark, supra, 5 Cal.4th at pp. 767-768; *In re Horowitz* (1949) 33 Cal.2d 534, 546-547).

Except insofar as they allege ineffective assistance of counsel as a substantive basis for relief, the following claims are additionally barred to the extent they were raised and rejected on appeal (*In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 825, 829-841; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225): claims 64, 69, 77, 83, 85, 87, 88, 89, 97, 98, and 108.

Except insofar as they allege ineffective assistance of counsel as a substantive basis for relief, the following claims or portions of claims are additionally barred to the extent they are based solely on the record on appeal and could have been, but were not, raised on appeal (*In re Harris, supra*, 5 Cal.4th at p. 825, fn. 3, 829-841; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759): claims 60 (subpart C), 63, 68 (allegations regarding the jury's perception of petitioner as dangerous and guilty of the charged offenses),

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 27, 2005 1475

70 (allegations regarding impeachment of Cheryl Lake), 74, 78, 80, 82, 90, 91, 95, 98 allegations regarding prosecutor's use of a balance scale, shark and dog references, display of a hunting knife during the penalty phase, and reference to the crumbling of society), 101 (subparts G, J, K, L, M, and N), and 107.

Except insofar as they allege ineffective assistance of counsel as a substantive basis for relief, the following claims or portions of claims are barred on the additional ground that petitioner forfeited them by failing to raise them in the trial court (In re Seaton (2004) 34 Cal.4th 193, 200): claims 59 (allegations regarding petitioner's state of being involuntarily medicated before and during trial; see People v. Jones (1997) 15 Cal.4th 119, 152-153), 68 (allegations regarding interference with attorney-client communications in court), 70 (allegations regarding prosecutorial misconduct involving Cheryl Lake), 74, 78 (allegations regarding those instances of prosecutorial misconduct to which counsel failed to object. move to strike, and seek proper admonishment), 82, 90, 91, 98 (allegations regarding those instances of prosecutorial misconduct to which counsel failed to object, move to strike, and seek proper admonishment), and 107. Werdegar, J. would not invoke this bar on the ground the petition was not filed before In re Seaton became final.

The following claims or portions of claims are additionally barred to the extent they duplicate claims raised and rejected in petitioner's first petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this judgment (In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735): claims 62 (insofar as it alleges Jerry Kenkel's conflicts of interest involving Jimmy Skidmore and Dan Zuercher, and Mark Stapleton's conflict of interest involving Bill Cantwell), 65 (insofar as it alleges trial counsel's false statements regarding the search for Sam and John), 67 (insofar as it alleges denial of access to counsel of choice), 70 (insofar as it alleges prosecutorial misconduct and ineffective assistance regarding impeachment of Christine Racowski), 92, 98, 99, 100, and 111 (insofar as it alleges newly

discovered evidence pertaining to Jacek Jerzy Gabryelski, Monalisa Larabee, Larry Wyman, and Michael O'Neill).

Claim 85 is barred on the further ground that claims of insufficiency of evidence are not cognizable on habeas corpus. (*In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

Kennard, J., was recused and did not participate.

S117268 HOLLOWAY (DUANE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus filed July 7, 2003, is denied. All claims are denied on the merits.

S133597 POWER STANDARDS LAB v. FEDERAL EXPRESS

A103021 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Four

S133621 MARKS (DELANEY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

The "Petition for Writ of Mandate and Emergency Request for Stay of Capital Case Proceedings," filed May 5, 2005, is denied.

S133753 EVANS v. CITY OF SAN JOSE

H026802 Sixth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S133834 KEGLER (IZEAR) ON H.C.

F046785 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S133859 TOKHMANIAN (GARABET) ON H.C.

B182270 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S133863 PARKER (RANDALL) ON H.C

F047820 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S133869 EVINS (GLYNNON) ON H.C.

F047781 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S133870 EWING (CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

B182166 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Five

S133872 JOHNSON (WILLIE C.) ON H.C.

A109951 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Five

S133917 PEOPLE v. JENNINGS

Division One

Division One

D043944 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should

be granted.

S133919 DIESS v. S.C. (STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

G035471 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S133920 BROWN (CLEMENT) ON H.C.

G035417 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S133935 CHACON (MANUEL) ON H.C.

H028085 Sixth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S133936 CONSIGLIO (SAM) ON H.C.

D045081 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division One

SMITH (LYDIA) ON H.C. S133945

B182274 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied **Division Three**

MIZNER (JEFFREY T.) ON H.C. S133966

D046191 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied S133967 POWERS, (BRIAN) ON H.C.

B182650 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Three

S133992 CALIFORNIA INSURANCE GUARANTEE ASSN.

B172056 Second Appellate District, v. WCAB (AMERICAN MOTORISTS INS. CO.)

Division Six Petition for review denied

S133995 DUESLER (ROBERT L.) ON H.C.

G035372 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S134004 ANDREWS (CHARLES) ON H.C.

E038006 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Two

S134006 DIAZ (RICHARD) ON H.C.

H027587 Sixth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134021 WILLIAMS v. ZUCKERMAN

B167835 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Eight

S134022 CLARK (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

B182515 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Two

S134043 WAGONER (KEITH) ON H.C.

B182652 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division One

S134065 RODRIGUEZ (SAUL) ON H.C.

B182659 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Five

S134116 PEOPLE v. MALDONADO

B157888 Second Appellate District, Petitions for review denied

Division Six

denied without prejudice to any relief to which

defendants might be entitled upon finality of People v. Black (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of Blakely v. Washington (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v*. Booker (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

S134120 A110039 First Appellate District,

Division Five

JONES (LUTHER) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

GARAMENDI v. GOLDEN EAGLE INSURANCE S134128

A105638 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied

A106198 Division One

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF SAN DIEGO v. S134132

D043044 Fourth Appellate District, **ATTISHA**

> **Division One** Petition for review denied

S134226 PEOPLE v. KEY

A101381 First Appellate District, Petition for review & publication request denied

Division Two

S134244 CAPITAL ONE SERVICES v. S.C. (SEELEY)

A110063 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

Kennard, J., and Chin, J., were recused and did

not participate.

PEOPLE v. VAUGHN S134245

D043941 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division One

S134257 PEOPLE v. JACKSON

F043820 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134271 CALIFORNIA INSURANCE GUARANTEE ASSN.

B175993 Second Appellate District, v. WCAB (ARGONAUT INSURANCE CO.)

> **Division Six** Petition for review denied

S134295 MERCK & COMPANY v. S.C. (ADAIR)

B180613 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

Baxter and Chin, JJ., were recused and did not

participate.

S134317 COHEN, MARRIAGE OF

B170332 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Eight

CARRASCO (CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C. S134358

Petition for review denied H026875 Sixth Appellate District

S134382 PEOPLE v. POTTER

D044290 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division One

S134406 PEOPLE v. HRANEK

B176179 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Five

S134411 BELL (KENNETH M.) ON H.C.

C049832 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

BRIDGES (MONTE LEE) ON H.C. S134418

Petition for review denied C049614 Third Appellate District

S134427 PEOPLE v. LEICHMAN

B175491 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Three

S134429 GILL v. RICH

B158336 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S134440 STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND v.

B181863 Second Appellate District, WCAB (MEDRANO) Division Two Petition for review denied S134452 POYE (SERGUEY PEREZ) ON H.C.

H027954 Sixth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134457 D. (KATIE), IN RE

A103843 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Two

S134459 PEOPLE v. FERRELL

B172129 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Three

S134480 PEOPLE v. POWELL

H026934 Sixth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134481 PEOPLE v. BENJAMIN

G033106 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Three

S134534 SNOW v. WOODFORD

D043702 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division One

Request for judicial notice granted.

S134558 PEOPLE v. CRUZ

B173765 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Three

S134559 PEOPLE v. GARCIA

F044258 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on

California law.

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

S134573 KHAN v. ARMENIAN BONE MARROW DONOR

B175886 Second Appellate District, REGISTRY CORP.
Division Eight Petition for review denied

S134646 HISTON (LARRY) ON H.C.

B182698 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division One

S134651 ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP v. S.C. (THATCHER

B179053 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Eight

Werdegar, J., is of the opinion the petition

should be granted.

S134659 B. (DONTE), IN RE

E036920 Fourth Appellate District, Petitions for review denied Division Two

S134711 CASSIDY v. GAISER

C045286 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134719 Z. (B.), IN RE

F046580 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S. (MICHAELA), IN RE

C046879 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134767 M. (KATRINA), IN RE

C046864 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134791 PEOPLE v. CASTILLO

D044293 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division One

S134821 PEOPLE v. THOMAS

B177426 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Six

E035656 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Two

PEOPLE v. CLARK

Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

S134847

E034461 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Two

PEOPLE v. BARNES

Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

S134848

B167805 Second Appellate District,

Division Eight

PEOPLE v. MORA

Petition for review denied

S134861

E034638 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Two

PEOPLE v. BROWN

Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. __, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. __, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

S134863

E035393 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Two

PEOPLE v. SNYDER

Petition for review denied

S134869

F044341 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MILLER
Petition for review denied

S134871 KNUPFER v. FLAGSTAR BANK

B177786 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Five

Division Eight

S134881 PEOPLE v. SIERRA

B176231 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Six

S134888 PEOPLE v. WADKINS

E035745 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Two

S134889 PEOPLE v. LANGEVIN

E035459 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Two

S134890 PEOPLE v. LARSON

B168992 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v.*

Booker (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

Camornia iaw

S134896 RILORO, INC. v. TUMANJAN

B171371 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Five

S134897 PEOPLE v. MOTE

G032441 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S134903 PEOPLE v. VOTH

C046558 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134908 PEOPLE v. BECKMAN G033368 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S134912 PRENATT v. G.W. WILLIAMS COMPANY

C044059 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134913 ANDERSON v. TIME WARNER TELECOM

F045332 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134918 C. (KENNY), IN RE

F045524 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

S134919 PEOPLE v. BLACKWELL

C047253 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on

California law.

S134922 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ

E035990 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Two

S134926 PEOPLE v. WHITT

F043354 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

S134929 CROSBY v. W.C.A.B. (BALTIMORE COLTS)

G035247 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

S134934 PEOPLE v. HUTCHINSON

H026627 Sixth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134936 PEOPLE v. BAILEY
A 108508 First A mellote District
Deticion for review denied

A108508 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Four

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on

California law.

S134937 POLK v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

A110392 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division One

S134939 PEOPLE v. ENGLETON

F045835 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134945 PEOPLE v. SINGH

A106269 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Four

S134946 PEOPLE v. KINGSBORO

B175897 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Eight

S134947 PEOPLE v. COPELAND

Division Three

B175497 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

E036406 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Two

PEOPLE v. TIRRELL

Petition for review denied

The request for an order directing publication of

the opinion is denied.

S134954

F046053 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BARRIOS

Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on

California law.

S134959

G035367 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Three

PHAN v. S.C. (INDIANAPOLIS LIFE INSURANCE)

Petition for review denied

S134963

B175902 Second Appellate District,

Division One

PEOPLE v. JARRELL

Petition for review denied

Moreno, J., was recused and did not participate.

S134964

E036308 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Two

PEOPLE v. J. (O.)

Petition for review denied

S134966

F045437 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BELL

Petition for review denied

S134967

A105492 First Appellate District,

A104861 Division One

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

S134968 PEOPLE v. ANDERSON C046590 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134971 PEOPLE v. CALUNGA

B173846 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Six

denied without prejudice to any relief to which
defendant might be entitled upon finality of

People v. Black (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding
the effect of Blakely v. Washington (2004) 542
U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and United States v.

Booker (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on

California law.

S134974 PEOPLE v. MENEFEE
C044514 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134982 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
C044863 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S134993 PEOPLE v. RICHARDSON
D044565 Fourth Appellate District,
Division One
PEOPLE v. RICHARDSON
Petition for review denied

S134996 HILL v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
B183627 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Five

S135003 FIELDS v. MAESTRO'S RISTORANTE

A102857 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Three

S135006 PEOPLE v. HERRERA F045169 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v. Booker* (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on California law.

S135008 DEMOLLE v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

A110389 First Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Five

S135012 DELGADO v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

B183440 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Five

S135017 PEOPLE v. CUEVAS

B175006 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied B180832 Division Seven

S135088 V. (B.), IN RE

F045273 Fifth Appellate District Petition for review denied

S135102 PEOPLE v. RAMSEY
C047616 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled upon finality of *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238 regarding the effect of *Blakely v. Washington* (2004) 542 U.S. ___, 124 S.Ct. 2531, and *United States v.*

Booker (2005) 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738, on

California law.

S135130 PEOPLE v. HALL

C044817 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

S135190 JACKSON v. CA 1/5 (CALIF MEDICAL FACILITY)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S135312 DIXIE v. OHLRICH

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S135400 GEORGIADES v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S120923 FARMER (ALLEN DESHAUN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S125016 LA CAVA (ROBERT J.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127603 HIGGINS (CARL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127634 SMITH (TRACY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921.)

S127645 CURREY (ILENA P.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127674 SIMPSON (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127676 THOMPSON (ALLEN C.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127677 HIGGINS (ROBERT E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127681 VOSS (STEVEN F.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See *In re Wessley W.* (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 240, 246; *In re Azurin* (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 20; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127727 RICO (ARTHUR SINGH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127746 SINGLETON (LATONNE A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127747 RUBI (MARIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709; *In re*

Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218.)

S127749 RECIO (JAIME) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127750 TORRES (DANNY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Miller

(1941) 17 Cal.2d 734.)

S127756 CONYERS (DARRYL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127757 CORYELL (SHANNON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127861 GUILLEN (JUAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127884 JAQUEZ (PETER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127895 HILLMON (CARLTON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127929 LOPEZ (JOSE ALBERTO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127931 LACY (DWIGHT E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127933 MCCRAY (FRED) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127939 WATSON (VALENTINO W.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127942 WILLIAMS (DAMON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127943 COOPER (CORY H.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127947 CURRY (JONATHAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750; *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127954 WHITE (JIMMY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127955 THOMPSON (STEPHEN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127956 FARRAR (MARY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127958 LEE (MIKE W.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S127960 ELIZALDE (RICARDO M.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127962 PARKS (WAYNE C.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S127963 PAREDES (ANTONIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See *People v. Black* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 1238.)

S128359 PFOST (MARK C.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S128398 HAYWARD (RONALD) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S128601 STALLWORTH (MILTON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S128689 LACY (DWIGHT E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

SAUNDERS (THOMAS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(See *In re Dannenberg* (2005) 34 Cal.4th 1061; *In re Rosenkrantz* (2002) 29 Cal.4th 616.)

S128942 HILL (JEFFREY A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S129530 MASONER (JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S129919 STURGIS (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S129984 WOO (CHEUK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

(People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S131468 HOGUE (BRIAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S131856 A. (JESSICA), IN RE

D044857 Fourth Appellate District, Depublication request denied (case closed)

Division One

Division Five

SAN DIEGO, CITY OF v. D.R. HORTON SAN DIEGO

D043425 Fourth Appellate District, HOLDING COMPANY, INC.

Division One Depublication request denied (case closed)

S133398 J. (A.), IN RE

E036817 Fourth Appellate District, Publication request denied (case closed)

Division Two

S133800 PEOPLE v. ALLEGHENY CASUALTY (NAPA CO.)

A107626 First Appellate District, Publication request denied (case closed)

S134336 DIXON v. SAMUEL

F043383 Fifth Appellate District Publication request denied (case closed)

S134997 PEOPLE v. JACKSON

B176587 Second Appellate District, Depublication request denied (case closed)

Division Six

S134118 PEOPLE v. SCHOOLER

C046428 Third Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to August 29, 2005

S134268 PEOPLE v. CAMARGO

Division One

Division Seven

Division One

B175113 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to August 29, 2005

S134319 CAMPBELL v. SIMMONDS

B174556 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

Division Seven to August 26, 2005

S134348 PEOPLE v. ANDERSON

B172475 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to August 31, 2005

S134408 I. (DANIEL), IN RE

B175962 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

Division Two to August 29, 2005

S134449 PEOPLE v. MEZA

F043554 Fifth Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to August 31, 2005

S134498 SAN DIEGO, CITY OF v. BARRATT AMERICAN,

D044079 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to September 2, 2005

S134539 GETTINGS v. TONEY

C046508 Third Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to September 1, 2005

PEOPLE v. HOWARD (DEMETRIUS)

Extension of time granted

to October 13, 2005 to file respondent's brief.

S062180

PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

to September 30, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Raoul D. Schonemann's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 4/1/2006.

S065233

PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD)

Extension of time granted

to October 4, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Jamilla Moore's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by early 5/2006. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S073253

PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ (ALFRED A.) Extension of time granted

to September 26, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about ten additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Robert C. Schneider's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 10/5/2005.

PEOPLE v. BUENROSTRO (DORA)

Extension of time granted

to September 30, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief.

S075727

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (CEDRIC JEROME)

Extension of time granted

to October 3, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 8/2/2006.

S078664

PEOPLE v. AVILA (JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's application for relief from default for failure to file appellant's opening brief or a timely motion for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Christine J. Levin's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by 9/27/2005, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to 9/27/2005. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S131048

PEOPLE v. SHABAZZ

B160417 Second Appellate District, Division Eight Extension of time granted

to August 22, 2005 for aplt to file the answer brief on the merits. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S134448

PEOPLE v. HAMILTON

B173224 Second Appellate District, Division Four Counsel appointment order filed

Julie Hernandez is appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

B172130 Second Appellate District, Division Four PEOPLE v. WITHERS

Counsel appointment order filed

Janice Lagerlof is appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S026408

PEOPLE v. LYNCH (FRANKLIN)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's "Application for Copy of Sealed Marsden Transcripts and Other Sealed Documents (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 31.2)" is granted. The clerk of the court is ordered to transmit to respondent copies of 1) Marsden motion filed June 10, 1991 (Sealed C.T. Vol. 44, pp. 11876-11881); 2) Reporter's Transcript of Marsden hearing on June 12, 1991 (Sealed R.T. June 12, 1991, pp. 1–8); 3) Reporter's Transcript of Marsden hearing on July 26, 1991 (Sealed R.T. July 26, 1991, pp.1–2); 4) Reporter's Transcript of Marsden hearing on August 1, 1991 (Sealed R.T. August 1, 1991, pp. 1–30); 5) Reporter's Transcript of Marsden and Faretta hearing on October 7, 1991 (Sealed R.T. October 7, 1991, pp. 5–18, 33–38); and 6) Reporter's Transcript of Marsden and Faretta hearing on October 17, 1991 (Sealed R.T. October 17, 1991, pp. 56–79.) The transcripts and documents are to remain under seal.

S065720

PEOPLE v. VINES (SEAN VENYETTE) Order filed

Appellant's "Application for permission to file supplemental brief re: Miller-El v. Dretke (2005) 125 S.Ct.2317" is granted. Any response to the supplemental brief must be included in respondent's brief due on August 22, 2005.

S101970

BOYER (RICHARD) ON H.C. Motion denied

Petitioner's "Application for Stay of Habeas Corpus Proceedings Pending Resolution of SAN FRANCISCO JULY 27, 2005 1499

Petitioner's Post-conviction Discovery Proceedings Pursuant to Penal Code section 1054.9 and *In re Steele* (2004) 32 Cal.4th 682; Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declaration of Counsel," filed on June 24, 2005, is denied.

S110741

PADILLA (ALFREDO A.) ON H.C. Motion denied

The "Motion to Amend Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," filed in this court on April 1, 2005, is denied.

S135819

C050115 Third Appellate District

FINKELSTEIN v. CA 3 (INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION Order filed

The order filed on July 26, 2005 is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

The "Emergency Petition for Writ of Review," filed on July 25, 2005, is refiled as a Petition for Review from the Court of Appeal's decision in *Independent Energy Producers Association et al. v. McPherson (Finkelstein et al., Real Parties in Interest)* (July 22, 2005, C050115) ___ Cal.App.4th ___ [05 C.D.O.S. 6448].

The matter is retitled as follows:

INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION et al., Petitioners,

v.

BRUCE McPHERSON, as Secretary of State, etc., Respondent; ROBERT FINKELSTEIN et al., Real Parties in Interest.

S110741

PADILLA (ALFREDO A.) ON H.C. Reference hearing ordered

THE COURT:

Based on the record in this matter and good cause appearing:

The Honorable Marie Sovey Silveira,

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 27, 2005 1500

Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Stanislaus, shall select a Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Stanislaus to sit as a referee in this proceeding and shall promptly notify this court of the referee selected. After appointment by this court, the referee shall take evidence and make findings of fact on the following questions regarding the case of *People v. Alfredo Alvarado Padilla* (Stanislaus County Superior Court No. 236426; Judge Hugh Rose III):

- 1. Did Juror Kim Uekert make up his mind to vote to sentence petitioner to death before the penalty phase began? (Petn., issue # III.A.3.b, pp. 314-315.)
- 2. Did Juror Kim Uekert communicate to his wife, before the trial was over, a decision to sentence petitioner to death?

The taking of evidence is limited to these two questions. Accordingly, respondent's "Motion to Strike Portions of Traverse Beyond the Order to Show Cause," filed in this court on October 12, 2004, is granted.

It is further ordered that the referee prepare and submit to this court a report of the proceedings conducted pursuant to this appointment, the evidence adduced, and the findings of fact made.

Any requests for discovery in this matter, including but not limited to any motion by petitioner under *Pennsylvania v. Ritchie* (1987) 480 U.S. 39, should be addressed to the referee. (See *In re Scott* (2003) 29 Cal.4th 783, 814.)